

**MINUTES
KING WILLIAM COUNTY
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
MEETING OF FEBRUARY 22, 2016**

A regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, was held on the 22nd day of February, 2016, beginning at 7:00 p.m. in the Conference Room of the County Administration Building, with the following present:

Stephen K. Greenwood, Chairman
Travis J. Moskalski, Vice-Chairman
William L. Hodges
David E. Hansen
Robert W. Ehrhart II

K. Charles Griffin, County Administrator
Daniel M. Stuck, County Attorney

RE: CALL TO ORDER

The Chairman called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. The members were polled:

W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

RE: REVIEW OF MEETING AGENDA

There was general discussion of the meeting agenda items.

The Board recessed and moved to the Board Meeting Room of the County Administration Building to continue the meeting.

The Chairman called the meeting back to order at 7:20 p.m.

RE: ADOPTION OF MEETING AGENDA

Supervisor Ehrhart moved for the adoption of the agenda for this meeting as presented by the County Administrator with the following changes: item 9c Community Development 2015 Report was removed to be heard at a later date; item 10a was revised and becomes Resolution 16-16(R); item 10b Resolution 16-17 was added; item 12a consideration of grant pertaining to DUI funds was added; and item 12b consideration of grant pertaining to emergency medical dispatching was added; motion was seconded by Supervisor Moskalski and approved by the following roll call vote:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

**RE: PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD – SPEAKERS: ONE OPPORTUNITY OF
3 MINUTES PER INDIVIDUAL OR 5 MINUTES PER GROUP ON NON-PUBLIC
HEARING MATTERS**

Chairman Greenwood opened the Public Comment Period. He asked if there are any additional citizens wish to speak that are not listed on the signup sheet that was made available prior to the start of the meeting. He mentioned that the Board of Supervisors has not received the County budget, or the School Board budget, to date. He stressed that no items listed on the agenda tonight are for consideration on the budget. Due to the large number of citizens signed up to speak he reviewed the guidelines of the time limit for speakers. He also reminded all speakers of the conduct that should be adhered to and that comments should be respectful.

1. Ms. Suzanne Campbell, of the 2nd District, and has been a teacher for King William County Public Schools for the past 13 years has a child currently attending King William schools and she opposes any cuts to the school budget. She is very impressed with the education her son has received thus far. In order to get qualified staff for the schools she feels it is a must to be financially competitive.

2. Ms. Jeanette Wagner, of the 5th District, thanked the board members who have made decisions that are in the best interest of everyone in the County. She also thanked several staff members for their assistance in these difficult times. In her opinion Board members should use the qualified staff but not be over burdensome. She noted a contact telephone number is not available on the County website for the 4th District Supervisor for constituent purposes.

3. Mr. Aaron Evans, of the 3rd District, spoke of comparisons of the King William Schools budget to others in the State; particularly Nottoway County. After a lot of research and many discussions his family relocated to King William County in 2010, specifically for the schools. He said Nottoway spends a little less per student than King William and in his opinion those students suffer. He feels the King William School Board does an excellent job on putting money where it needs to be. He opposes any cuts to the school budget.

4. Ms. Kayla Sherman, of the 5th District, is a graduate of King William schools and currently attending college. In her opinion, this was made possible to her

because of the education she received from King William schools and the dedication of the staff and teachers. She feels it is time to give the education system the respect it deserves because it is the basis of our future. She opposes any cuts to the school budget.

5. Mr. Dylan DeHart, Social Studies Teacher and Head Football Coach at King William High School, spoke of the effort of the quality to capacity of education. He said high effort shows the localities willingness in funding education and preparing for the next generation and we have had this in the past. Low effort has a devastating impact on future opportunity for our children and the locality and he feels this is what we will be faced with if cuts are made. He spoke of the accreditation and athletic opportunities King William schools have to offer. He feels King William is a wonderful community and our past educational leaders are to be thanked for that. He feels salaries are competitive with neighboring localities. He asked the Board to adequately fund the schools.

6. Ms. Laura Nunnally, of the 5th District, recently moved to King William County eight months ago and has found the people and schools to be amazing, but is disappointed that she is having this conversation so soon after moving here. She is a real estate agent and pointed out that when localities do not have good school systems all tax payers lose because property values drop. She opposes any cuts to the school budget.

7. Mr. Steven Tupponce, of the 3rd District and representing the King William County School Board, thanked the Board on behalf of the School Board, for not passing proposed Resolution 16-10(R) allocating local appropriations to King William County Public Schools utilizing the categorical areas set forth by the Code of Virginia. He said the School Board will continue to use the funds allocated to educate the children of King William ensuring none is wasted and any surplus is returned to the County at each year end to be placed in the designated reserve funds. In his opinion, much has been made of recent comparisons that have been made of the operations of King William schools to other districts not only misleading the public but possibly some Board members. He agrees no one wants to pay more than their fair share in taxes and the School Board understands this, as does staff, however the fight to reform education funding is not a local issue. He feels the children of King William should not

be caught in the cross hairs. He stated this is a State and Federal issue that has been blurred into a local issue to mislead the public by some. We have high performing schools in King William and they are the envy of the region. Our schools are fully accredited by the State of Virginia, 1 out of 37 school divisions out of 132 who can say this. King William ranks 85th in per pupil expenditure, more than half in Virginia spend more per student than here. Additionally, 68% of the school budget goes towards instruction as defined by the Virginia Department of Education, exceeding the State target of 65%. The heritage report does not tell the whole story and the shoe just does not fit; not to mention it was published in 2004, 12 years ago. As you can see, the silent majority is here tonight, the School Board has heard them loud and clear the level of service provided by King William County Public Schools should not be lowered. He stressed that the two boards need to work together respectfully and without antagonism to properly fund the education in King William. He said the Children of King William are not pawns.

8. Mr. Bill Toth, of the 4th District and retired Sargent Major from the US Army, spoke of the \$270,000 for education funding the Governor has received and hopes King William gets some of it and spends it wisely. In his opinion, the well in Central Garage is polluted and should be addressed and fixed. He feels voting is an honor and a privilege. In his opinion, during the fall election, the voting at the High School was not monitored. He is disappointed that a person would wear a product representing a military organization that they did not serve in. He went on to say the United States Army Rangers is an elite organization and noted he asked Supervisor Hansen to remove his hat at the voting precinct but he refused. He also said he asked the Supervisor if he served in that unit and he answered no. Tonight he is asking him to resign his position with honor or face the court in Richmond because this is a federal crime that he offended.

9. Ms. Whitney Lipscomb, of the 3rd District, is a lifelong resident of King William and a graduate of the public schools. She is a substitute teacher and also drives a school bus. Her children currently attend King William schools. She opposes any cuts to the school budget.

10. Ms. Terry Stone, of the 4th District, has been a longtime supporter of education and has participated in the PTA. She addressed the Board and provided a

handout of a cost per pupil by funding source she had compiled. She pointed out 100% of the schools in King William County are fully accredited. She also spoke of the composite index ratio and the salaries for the teachers; stating they have tenure and they are here to stay. She opposes any cuts to the school budget. She encouraged Supervisor Hansen to get a cell phone for citizen contact purposes.

11. Ms. Kristin Kite, of the 4th District, supports the School Board and their request for level funding. She has concerns of rumors of minimal funding to the schools. She questioned some items requested to be placed on an agenda by a Board member. She is proud to stand by the schools and the community.

12. Ms. Tiffany Agee, of the Mangohick District, said her daughter was unable to attend tonight due to a sports event she is participant of. She spoke of her daughters' excitement of the opportunity she has in the dual enrollment offered by King William schools. Her daughter is also an avid volleyball participant. She has concerns that no one will want to move to King William should they find out the schools and instructors do not have the backing they need to teach the children.

13. Mr. Chip Watkins, of the 4th District, a lifelong resident of King William County, a land owner, and a parent of three children in the school system. He supports all of the instructors, from teachers to parents and volunteers, and in his opinion his children are being taught well. He opposes any cuts to the school budget.

14. Mr. Dan Jones, of the 2nd District, a tax payer and a parent of two children that have benefited greatly from the academic and athletic opportunities from King William schools. He appreciates that the decision was made a few years back, by the County and School Board, to update the athletic facilities so we have state of the art facilities that meet the VHL standards so state quarter finals can be hosted. He wonders why effort is not being put towards economic development. He opposes any cuts to the school budget. He urged the Board to do the right thing.

15. Ms. Laura Estok, of the Mangohick District, is a parent and bus driver for King William Public Schools. She opposes any cuts to the school budget. She wonders what the motive is for making such large cuts that have been rumored. She urged the Board to look at the big picture. She opposes any cuts to the school budget.

Chairman Greenwood reminded speakers to be mindful of the time limit.

16. Ms. Olivia Norman, of the 5th District, is a parent of two children attending King William schools. She entrusts the care of her children to the bus drivers to transport them to and from school and sporting events. She moved to King William and is now faced with possibly moving. In her opinion, if the school system declines like other localities, this will be a loss to the whole county. She said when you steal from the thing that makes this county great, then this county is no longer great. She does not support any cuts to school board budget.

17. Ms. Sakia Nazami, a senior at King William High School, said she is speaking out for students in the grades below her as she feels they will be affected by any cuts, as well as she. In her opinion, extra-curricular classes are necessary to go onto higher education. She is two credits away from graduating high school with an associate's degree and gives credit to the Governors' school for making this possible. She opposes any cuts to the school budget. Her classmates, Tyler Wright and Alexis, spoke and they are also opposed to any cuts to the school budget.

18. Mr. Russ Groover, of the 5th District, supports the schools and opposes any reduction to the proposed school board budget. His family moved here because of the schools. He feels King William schools affords his children the opportunity to continue on to higher education. He noted the majority of the students attending the Chesapeake Bay Governors' School are from King William County.

19. Mr. Parker Slack, student of King William High School, is concerned with the proposed rumors of cuts to the school board budget. He feels this would have a negative effect on the whole county and opposes any reduction. He speculated on how schools would operate and what would be offered to students should cuts be made.

20. Mr. Luke Bliley, of the 5th District and student of King William High School, shared how he and some of his fellow class mates would be negatively affected should any cuts be made to the proposed school budget. Some of the things he shared that possibly could happen is a decrease in population; rise in poverty rate; increase in crime rate due to inadequate education for children; and development will be halted as families will not want to move to a county where their children cannot receive a quality education.

21. Mr. Gene Campbell, of the 2nd District, feels we should all be working together to resolve our problems, including the Board of Supervisors. He opposes cuts to the school budget.

22. Mr. Charles Piersa, of the 2nd District and President of the Farm Bureau, spoke on behalf of the Bureau and said they also support the schools. He is in favor of supporting the request for the funding to assist with the development of senior housing, as part of a project previously approved in the Central Garage area.

23. Mr. Gregory Packett, Developer of the Packett Project, recently approved in the 360 corridor area, noted a market study was performed for this project recently. He explained the study shows affordable housing including senior and workforce housing are especially needed, even more than market rate housing. He said given the results of the study he reviewed the project and looked at several types of financing, with the real estate tax increase in King William last year he tried to make all the numbers work, the outlook on just building higher end housing was not looking good. He explained the type of financing he is asking for Board support is considered for tax credit housing. He further explained this type of housing provides affordable, but higher end quality buildings. He noted a resolution of support from the Board of Supervisors would give him a greater chance of being awarded this funding.

Chairman Greenwood requested that Mr. Packett stand by for further review of this item that is on the agenda for consideration; Mr. Packett agreed.

The Chairman then called the next speaker listed on the sign up sheet.

24. Mr. Jacob Wilson, of the 3rd District and a student of King William High School, said most of the speakers here tonight are here out of concern of the rumored proposed plan to cut the school budget. He said many extra-curricular activities teach students leadership, when to be a leader, and when to be a follower. He recited the Boy Scout Law and said he believes we all should be scouts.

25. Mr. Langdon Townsend, of the 4th District and owner of Queenfield Farm and Golf Course, supports level funding to King William County Public Schools. He also supports keeping land use tax exemptions for all the farmers in King William County. He feels we can all work together to get this accomplished.

26. Mr. Paul Jamerson, of the 3rd District, spoke of an email he recently sent to all of the Board members asking that a thank you be extended to Ms. Morrison and

Ms. Robinson for providing the information that was recently posted on the King William School Board website. He had no idea \$5,000,000 funded all of the items that were listed and wonders where the rest of the \$20,000,000 is spent. He noticed in the information listed in this posting there was no mention of reductions in management, bureaucracy, or the use of technology for more efficiency. He suspects the goal of the website posting was to generate fear by cutting popular items and in his opinion that would be a School Board decision, not a Board of Supervisors' decision. He said there is no sin in asking for help if you face a difficult task and suggested the School Board listen to that advice.

27. Mr. Bob Shannon, of the 3rd District and member of the King William T.E.A. Party, spoke on behalf of the T.E.A. Party. He spoke of the voter turnout percentages in years past and the drop in the number of voters. He said perhaps the motocross and reservoir had some impact on percentages but the fact remains why the trend is clear that fewer people are voting in local elections. He asked is it because the candidates say one thing and then do another after they are elected? He wonders if voters blame the results from not having any confidence the elected officials will do what they promise; he thinks so. He said spending and taxes, real estate taxes in particular, were at the forefront of discussions. He noted voters rejected three sitting supervisors for a reason. He said County schools received 48% of the total local budgeted dollars last year and it is not unreasonable for voters to question how that money is being spent. He stated we have good schools in King William County. That is not the issue. The amount of money being spent by the schools of local level funding and the impact that it has on the residential real estate tax rate is the issue. He said no one can call tax payers and small business owners in the County selfish.

28. Mr. Greg Johnson, of the 4th District and Head Wrestling Coach for King William High School, is a lifelong resident of King William and has settled here with his family. He also has a child in the King William school system and is pleased with the education and attention they are receiving. He said athletics taught him to be humble and that you cannot always be on top; there will be times when you will get knocked down and depending on how you respond to that will make you a better person. In his opinion, for us to get where we want to be we have to do more than what we are doing

right now. He feels any cuts to the budget to the schools would be detrimental. He said he is fiscally responsible, has zero debt, and teaches this to his children. He wonders if the County is in debt.

29. Mr. Brian Hodges, of the 2nd District, moved to the County within the last five years primarily to be closer to this family. His father is a Board of Supervisor member, William Hodges. He noticed that out of the numerous speakers' tonight only one opposed level funding the school budget. He noted that two Board members only represent 7,000 of the constituents in the whole county; there are many more citizens within the county who do not agree with some positions. He wonders about transparency and asked how many Board members are accepting health benefits and pay from the County and do not want to make cuts. He also spoke of a development in the County that has already been put in for senior living that has been displaced. He said the tax credit the developer is asking for does not benefit the County at all; other localities that allow this have hospitals and such that support seniors.

30. Ms. Donna Nuttal, of the 5th District, said elected officials are here to do a job and she is confident they will. She feels if there is a problem with the budget they will find it, and if there is not, then they will not cut. She feels the posting on the public website was intended to scare our teachers, staff, parents and especially our children and feels it was unacceptable. She said when you cut your budget at home you may cut your cable off but you do not cut your heat off. She noted the teachers, principals, coaches, guidance counselors and aides are the front line in education of our students, not the school board. In her opinion, the School Board needs to take a look at their spending but this should not affect the children at all. She is sorry elected officials have to take the brunt of these horrible accusations.

31. Ms. Melissa Kenney, of the 4th District, is a mother of four children with two having disabilities. She is an advocate and works in the district. She also volunteers with the Mattaponi Rescue as a full time EMT. She has been hearing all the wonderful things that King William Schools does, she has to give credit when credit is due but she also has some concerns. She wonders if citizens know how much the schools spend on attorney fees fighting parents. She gave details of an ongoing court case she is involved in with King William Public School System and noted it is likely there is going to be more attorney fees spent.

There being no other persons to appear before the Board Chairman Greenwood closed the Public Comment Period.

RE: CONSENT AGENDA

Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of the following items on the Consent Agenda, motion was seconded by Supervisor Ehrhart.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions the consent agenda was approved by the following roll call vote:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

a. Minutes:

- i. Annual Meeting and Work Session of January 11, 2016
- ii. Regular Meeting of January 28, 2016

b. Claims against the County for the month of February, 2016, in the amount of \$814,632.97 as follows:

(1) General Fund Warrants #81839-81972 in the amount of \$172,456.53; ACH Direct Payments #7330-7440 in the amount of \$190,087.03; Direct Deposits #21691-21799 in the amount of \$198,264.47; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$120,593.98.

(2) For informational purposes, Social Services expenditures for the month of February, 2016, Warrants #310817-310847 in the amount of \$19,570.65; ACH Direct Payments #1646-1667 in the amount of \$10,941.59; Direct Deposits #3671-3690 in the amount of \$32,369.42; and Electronic Tax Payment in the amount of \$18,544.15.

(3) For informational purposes, Comprehensive Services Act Fund expenditures for the month of February, 2016, Warrants #81973-81977 in the amount of \$10,781.15; and ACH Direct Payments #7441-7444 in the amount of \$41,024.00.

(4) Tax refunds for the month of February, 2016, in the amount of \$814,632.97.

RE: BOARD PRESENTATIONS

a. Resolution 16-13 – A resolution congratulating Mrs. Essie Braxton Gwathmey on the occasion of her 100th Birthday – Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-13; motion was seconded by Supervisor Ehrhart.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-13 was approved by the following roll call vote:

R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Supervisor Moskalski noted family members of Ms. Gwathmey were not present to accept this resolution tonight. He proceeded to read the following resolution aloud:

RESOLUTION 16-13
A RESOLUTION CONGRATULATING
MRS. ESSIE BRAXTON GWATHMEY
ON THE OCCASION OF HER 100TH BIRTHDAY

WHEREAS, Essie Braxton Gwathmey was born January 20th, 1916 in Beulahville, Virginia to Israel and Essie Moore Braxton; and

WHEREAS, after moving with her family to King William County at an early age, Mrs. Gwathmey attended school in the County, graduating from King William Training School in 1938; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Gwathmey married Roscoe A. Gwathmey of King William, Virginia on September 20th, 1942 and to this union was born seven children, nine grandchildren, and fourteen great grandchildren; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Gwathmey received a Certificate in Practical Nursing from, Post Graduate Hospital School of Nursing in Chicago, Illinois in 1956; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Gwathmey had a long career as a Practical Nurse, working as a private duty nurse for the matriarch of the A.T. Massey Coal Company, then as a private duty nurse for patients at the Imperial Plaza Independent Living Facility in Richmond, Virginia before retiring from Ashland Convalescent Center in 1995 after serving there as a Practical Nurse for twenty years; and

WHEREAS, as a member of St. Paul Baptist Church, Mrs. Gwathmey has served as president of the Missionary Auxiliary for thirty five years and has served on the Deaconess Board for sixty years; and

WHEREAS, Mrs. Gwathmey has been active in the community as a member of the King William Training School-Hamilton Holmes Alumni Association, the King William branch of the NAACP, and has collected donations for the American Red Cross in the Mangohick area of King William County for many years,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors, does hereby offer congratulations and bestow best wishes to Essie Braxton Gwathmey on the occasion of her 100th birthday and wish her many more.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution expressing the sentiments of this Board of Supervisors be conveyed to Essie Braxton Gwathmey and shall be spread upon the meeting minutes of said Board of Supervisors.

b. Bay Aging – Kathy Vesley-Massey, President and CEO – Ms. Vesley-Massey addressed the Board and stated Mr. Ken Pollock, Director of Bay Transit, was in attendance with her tonight who will speak briefly. She gave appreciation to the director appointed by King William County, Rev. Maria Harris, and noted that she and 30 other volunteers in King William have donated over 1,600 hours of service in the last year. She said this is extraordinary service and has saved the tax payers almost \$40,000. She thanked the Board for past support. Last year, the agency leveraged the \$4,000 donation from King William to provide well over \$236,000 value of services. In addition, for economic development, they have 14 Bay Aging employees who both live and work in King William County. In total 1,140 King William residents were served last year. Within those services they served 6,300 meals, through Meals on Wheels, 97 meals were served to homebound seniors, and another 2,500 meals were served at the senior center. In addition to these meals, she feels it is important to note that these folks have someone who is checking on them and conversing with them. She said approximately 4,580 hours of skilled care was provided for folks that want to remain in their home, 76 citizens were able to do so with this assistance. She noted the assisted living facility, Winters Point in West Point, is available to allow citizens to live independently.

Mr. Pollock, Bay Transit Director of Transportation, gave a brief report of the transit services provided to King William residents. He thanked the Board for nearly 15 years of support. He explained Bay Transit is the public transportation provider for the Middle Peninsula and Northern Neck and services are provided to all people, for all reasons. He noted the hours of operation in King William County are Monday through Friday from 6:00 to 10:00 and then from 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. He said last fiscal year Bay Transit provided 4,227 rides to residents of King William County and an additional 2,467 rides to residents of the Town of West Point. He said of the rides provided, 32% were for work related purposes for those that have no other means of transportation and most likely would not be able to hold a job if not for this service. Another 32% of the rides were for health services, and the rest were split between shopping, senior centers and RCC. He said a new federal grant program, the New Freedom Program, provides rides to citizens with disabilities and seniors; most are for rides outside of Bay Transit service areas and hours of service; specifically for health services provided at

MCV and McGuire Veterans Hospital. He said typically Bay Transit does not go to these places on a regular basis and that 241 rides were provided to King William residents. Unfortunately, the funding is limited and rides are restricted to two per person per month. He noted is not enough rides for a lot of people who have cancer and dialysis treatments out of the area. He is excited about a volunteer driver program that has been started, the MedCarry program, provides non-emergency medical transportation for seniors. He said volunteers drive their own cars and receive a mileage stipend to fill in the gaps for those that have more than two trips per month. He said two volunteers are in the program from King William County and they are seeking more.

Supervisor Hansen asked what the necessary qualifications are to be a volunteer driver.

Mr. Pollock stated a valid driver's license with an acceptable driving record; a criminal background check is performed; and proper minimal insurance coverage.

Supervisor Hodges asked for clarification why dialysis patients are being taken to Gloucester.

Mr. Pollock explained the agency does not control what medical facility is required for each patient.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked for clarification if the service area is for all of King William.

Mr. Pollock stated the original system covered a conglomeration of King William, King and Queen and the Town of West Point; each contributing one third of the local match and receiving one third of the service. He further explained a few years ago King William cut funding so service hours were adjusted accordingly. Two buses cover as much area as the hours of service allow for King William.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked if there is a cost benefit analysis available for the riders that use the service to and from work.

Mr. Pollock could not confirm an analysis has been performed. He confirmed a fare is charged to riders. He noted customer surveys have been done but salary information is usually not disclosed. He further explained all riders have a purpose and if they are going to work they are paying taxes; if they are going shopping they are

spending money for purchases. The agency believes there is a significant return on investment.

Supervisor Hansen asked for clarification of how much King William County contributes to this service.

Mr. Pollock stated King William County is currently contributing \$15,000; the original local match was \$25,000.

Supervisor Hodges asked for clarification that the Town of West Point also contributes to this service.

Mr. Pollock confirmed that the Town of West Point and King and Queen County contributes to the service.

RE: OLD BUSINESS

a. Resolution 16-16(R) – A resolution supporting the development of Tax Map Parcel 28-41 – Packett Properties for Senior Housing – Bret Schardein, Director of Community Development, introduced the two items before the Board for consideration. He stated Resolution 16-16(R) relates to senior housing development and Resolution 16-17 relates to the workforce housing development. He said these resolutions are in support of the developer's application for VHDA financing. He further clarified this project was approved by the Board last year through conditional use permit 04-14. He said this is just one of many possible ways to finance a portion of this project. The property owner and developer, Gregory Packett, as well as Dana Koogler, of Mark-Dana Corp., are available to answer any questions related to the application and any plans for the development of the property. He is ready to answer any questions regarding the permit and how that works with the development.

Supervisor Hansen asked for clarification on several items that are listed in the application and permit documents presented to the Board prior to the meeting.

Mr. Schardein explained the project is not that far into the development to trigger most of the items clarification was requested for. He said most of the items mentioned will be triggered during site plans review and development of the project. He said there is no reason to believe that they would not be able to comply with all the conditions the Board put in place.

Supervisor Hansen asked if the Planning Commission has reviewed the two proposed resolutions.

Mr. Schardein stated the Planning Commission has not reviewed the resolutions and typical practice is that they do not review Board resolutions related to financing of a project.

Supervisor Hansen asked if support from this Board is part of the process in picking a winner for the application that receives the funding.

Mr. Schardein said support from this Board will give additional points during the application consideration process but would not be the only factor in the approval process. He explained a resolution showing support does not guarantee award of the funding but it could help; however the applicant could be awarded funding without a resolution of support.

Supervisor Moskalski said it has been implied, and maybe disseminated in public, that these resolutions would declare the area this development will be taking place in as a blighted area. He asked for clarification if the proposed resolutions say that or something different.

Mr. Schardein stated the drafted resolutions do not state that the area is blighted. He further explained there are many ways a locality can support such a resolution knowing that there are many types of localities. He gave an example that there may be somewhere in Richmond that may be blighted so you could declare and support it that way. He said that is not the case here, that is not the way these resolutions are crafted and does not designate any area in King William County as blighted.

Supervisor Hodges hopes we retain the senior and single family living throughout the development and not drop the senior portion later down the road.

Supervisor Hansen asked for clarification if the application to be submitted to VHDA will contain blighted, deteriorated, etc. in the language.

Mr. Schardein confirmed the highlighted information, in the list provided, pertains to King William County which says the county would benefit from having additional commercial business growth and that growth is unlikely to occur unless we have additional housing. He reiterated these resolutions do not contain the word blight in them.

Ms. Koogler confirmed the sheet that Supervisor Hansen is referring to is not included in the application to the VHDA.

Chairman Greenwood clarified that support of these resolutions is for the developer to apply for this type of funding; there is no guarantee the funding will be awarded. He said should the funding be awarded the developer is required to submit changes to the County for additional review by the Planning Commission and for further consideration by this Board.

Mr. Packett clarified this type of housing is not section eight, public housing, or subsidized housing. He said should this tax credit be issued revenue will be brought into the County quicker because the senior, workforce and luxury housing will all be built at the same time.

Supervisor Hansen once again asked the applicant for clarification if the application to be submitted to VHDA will contain blighted, deteriorated, etc.

Ms. Koogler stated the page Supervisor Hansen is referring to will be submitted with the application and clarified this is an example of language that can be used in a resolution of support.

Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-16(R); motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussion.

Supervisor Moskalski feels it is important to say the developer has answered all questions concisely. He said this resolution does not bind the County to any site plans or to any covenants in the conditional use permit, it is merely a gateway to a grant that they may or may not be received. He does not see anything in either resolution that refers to blight. He thought from the beginning that this development would be good for the County and would accomplish the things we said we want to accomplish with regards to economic development. He said in talking to the regional manager of Advance Auto Parts having development projects like this in our planning pipeline was key to their decision to locate a store here. Development like this is already having an effect and it is a positive move for the County. He encouraged his fellow Board members to support this resolution.

Supervisor Hansen expressed concern of the final language that appears in the final resolution. He noted a speaker earlier said a senior development area had failed.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions Resolution 16-16(R) was approved by the following roll call vote:

W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Nay
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

RESOLUTION 16-16(R)
A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING
DEVELOPMENT OF A PORTION OF TAX MAP PARCEL 28-41
AS SENIOR HOUSING

WHEREAS, King William Manor, LLC has proposed to build King William Manor apartments for seniors (the "Development") to be located at approximately 11823-11845 King William Road, Aylett, VA 23009 (the Development will be located on approximately 2.75 acres out of Tax Map # 28-41 at the southeast quadrant of King William Park Road and King William Road, opposite the intersection of Central Parkway and King William Road in Central Garage, King William County, Virginia), and is applying to the Virginia Housing Development Authority ("VHDA") for 2016 Competitive 9% Housing Tax Credits for the Development; and

WHEREAS, this resolution is intended to meet the requirements of Section 36-55.30:2.A of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, and the County of King William, Virginia, desires to designate the site which includes the Development, as a revitalization area;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of King William County finds that the Development is located in an area of the County such that the County would benefit from the industrial, commercial or other economic development of such area but that such area lacks the housing needed to induce manufacturing, industrial, commercial, governmental, educational, entertainment, community development, healthcare or nonprofit enterprises or undertakings to locate or remain in such area, and further finds that private enterprise and investment are not reasonably expected, without assistance, to produce the construction or rehabilitation of decent, safe and sanitary housing and supporting facilities that will meet the needs of low and moderate income persons and families in such area and induce other persons and families to live within such area, thereby creating a desirable economic mix of residents in such area; and

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED that pursuant to Section 36-55.30:2.A of the Code of Virginia 1950, as amended, the area in which the Development is to be located is hereby designated as a revitalization area *and* that proposed senior housing within the area would be of benefit to the County.

b. Resolution 16-17 – A resolution supporting the development of Tax Map Parcel 28-41 – Packett Properties for Workforce Housing –

Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-17; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-17 was approved by the following roll call vote:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Nay

R. W. Ehrhart II Nay
W. L. Hodges Aye
S. K. Greenwood Aye

RESOLUTION 16-17
A RESOLUTION SUPPORTING
DEVELOPMENT OF A PORTION OF TAX MAP PARCEL 28-41
AS WORKFORCE HOUSING

WHEREAS, King William Place, LLC has proposed to build King William Place apartments (the "Development") to be located at approximately 11801-11821 King William Road, Aylett, VA 23009 (the Development will be located on approximately 4 acres out of Tax Map # 28-41 at the southeast quadrant of King William Park Road and King William Road, opposite the intersection of Central Parkway and King William Road in Central Garage, King William County, Virginia), and is applying to the Virginia Housing Development Authority ("VHDA") for 2016 Competitive 9% Housing Tax Credits for the Development; and

WHEREAS, this resolution is intended to meet the requirements of Section 36-55.30:2.A of the Code of Virginia of 1950, as amended, and the County of King William, Virginia, desires to designate the site which includes the Development, as a revitalization area;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of King William County finds that the Development is located in an area of the County such that the County would benefit from the industrial, commercial or other economic development of such area but that such area lacks the housing needed to induce manufacturing, industrial, commercial, governmental, educational, entertainment, community development, healthcare or nonprofit enterprises or undertakings to locate or remain in such area, and further finds that private enterprise and investment are not reasonably expected, without assistance, to produce the construction or rehabilitation of decent, safe and sanitary housing and supporting facilities that will meet the needs of low and moderate income persons and families in such area and induce other persons and families to live within such area, thereby creating a desirable economic mix of residents in such area; and

BE IT HEREBY FURTHER RESOLVED that pursuant to Section 36-55.30:2.A of the Code of Virginia 1950, as amended, the area in which the Development is to be located is hereby designated as a revitalization area and that proposed workforce housing within the area would be of benefit to the County.

RE: RECESS

Meeting Recessed – at 9:40 p.m. Chairman Greenwood declared a short recess.

Meeting Reconvened – at 9:50 p.m. the meeting was reconvened in open session by order of the Chair.

RE: NEW BUSINESS

a. Presentation of draft FY17 Budget – Ken Griffin, County Administrator and Amanda Six, Director of Financial Services – Mr. Griffin gave an overview of the draft FY 2017 Budget. He said in 2015 the Board adopted a budget that was challenging. The challenges included the reassessment and in part the Board met that challenge using one time monies from reserves, \$630,000, to bring the tax rate down

by four cents. In FY17 staff is recommending a slightly reduced budget of \$23,025,560; reduction of about \$48,000. Some of the highlights of this recommended budget are: 1) the impacts of the land use changes and the approved revalidation process; staff realized almost an additional \$168,000 in revenues; 2) VRS recommends a decreased contribution of about \$100,000 which the recommended budget puts this savings towards a cost of living raise for county employees; 3) essentially level funding is recommended for King William County Public Schools in the amount of \$10,590,250, with a slight decrease to deal with debt service; 4) a recommendation of how we fund our volunteer fire departments involves two parts, a continued lump sum to the five providers, and the balance of the recommended amount would be on a per call basis; 5) there are adequate reserves to pay with cash with no additional borrowing, for capital purchases and major maintenance items of about \$275,000; the same figure in the FY 16 budget.

Continuing, he reviewed some of the major expenditure highlight categories including level funding for the schools, which represents about 45% of the budget. He summarized the debt service which totals about \$3.2 million dollars. For fire and rescue the budget asks for a per call payment of \$90; and an additional \$75,000 is being asked for additional contract services, most likely to be provided by the current contractor, LifeCare. He explained there are still some gaps in service in the northern end of the County that need to be filled. In terms of county employee compensation and benefits, a 2% cost of living increase is recommended. He noted health insurance rates have not been received from the carrier; premium increase is estimated to be around 10%. There is a recommendation for a minor pay increase for part-time employees in the General Registrar's office; and also for the Sheriff's office to cover overtime for deputies and dispatchers.

Ms. Six reviewed some of the revenue highlights. She said there is an increase in ongoing revenue of about \$345,000. The largest revenue in the general fund is the real estate tax at 52%; estimate for FY17 is \$11.7 million dollars, which includes a proposed one cent tax rate reduction. She said we were able to do this in part with the additional revenue in the land book gained in the land use revalidation process. As mentioned, the Commissioner estimates this to be about \$160,000 in taxable value. The next largest set of revenues, total about 11% of the general fund, is the personal

property tax; about \$2.4 million dollars, up about \$40,000 for first year collections only. She stated there is no proposed rate change associated with personal property tax. She noted in FY15 the Commissioner changed the valuation methods, explain that previously vehicles were evaluated on a clean value and now they are evaluated on a trade in value. She stated this has resulted in a lower tax bill for many residents, and also prevented many abatements for high mileage vehicles.

Continuing, she gave some reasons ongoing revenues are increasing. She said an increase in sales and meals tax represents an increase in consumer activity and consumer confidence within the County. She said meals tax collections are strong and tracking ahead of last fiscal year. She said sales tax projections are up and currently tracking about 3.6% above last year's collections and feels the projections are attainable considering the trends. State revenue total is about \$3 million dollars and of that about \$1.2 million dollars is State shared expenses for constitutional officers. She said any adjustments will be monitored during the General Assembly deliberations.

She reviewed some of the highlights of the FY17 Capital Improvement Plan. She said the plan slates three replacement vehicles for the Sheriff's office and a van for the Department of Social Services; recommendation of phase two of the HVAC upgrade for the County buildings is included; funding to purchase fire and rescue equipment for our fire and community partners; and the County telephone system is in dire need of upgrade. She said the County has established a healthy cash reserve and recommends as part of best financial practices to purchase one time capital items using some of the cash reserves. Also there are some savings in debt services and recommended the use of about \$230,000 in cash and the remainder will be made up from savings and debt services previously being used on larger capital items.

She said several other operating funds are included in this budget such as CSA; the Regional Animal Shelter billing; Parks and Recreation programs; and the water and sewer utilities. There are also a number of small special revenue funds that are dedicated funds, such as forfeited assets and donations to the Sheriff's office. More detail will be provided on each of these funds during the first budget work session.

In closing, she gave a summary of the road to adoption of the budget starting with a joint meeting with the School Board tomorrow night, and several work session meetings scheduled, with the first one beginning on March 9th at 9:00 a.m. She noted the public hearings for the tax rate and the budget are both scheduled for April 4th. The target adoption date for the budget and tax rate is April 25th, during the regular business meeting of the Board of Supervisors. She stated the recommended budget presented has been revamped by her, and her staff, and she briefly explained the content and order of the documents.

There were general questions from Board members on items covered. Ms. Six answered and explained them in detail.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked for clarification when the budget has to be adopted, given the late General Assembly session.

Ms. Six said a tax rate has to be adopted for the first half tax billing. The Code of Virginia requires that the School budget is adopted by May 15th, even if the General Assembly is not finished.

Supervisor Hansen asked if a 20% increase could be considered for deputies in the Sheriff's office. The County Administrator noted he would bring those figures back to the Board.

RE: ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS – K. CHARLES GRIFFIN, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

a. Consideration of a DUI Grant for the Sheriff's Office – The County Administrator introduced the application for a DUI Grant for the Sheriff's office for consideration. The County is required to provide 50% of inkind match for this grant. The grant amount request is for \$9,000, total funding for this grant to \$13,500.

Supervisor Hansen moved for approval of the application of a DUI Grant for the Sheriff's Office; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked for further clarification that the inkind obligation is for fuel and vehicle maintenance only.

Sheriff Walton explained fuel and vehicle maintenance is the easiest way to track the inkind match.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked if there is any long term obligation.

Sheriff Walton stated this grant is applied for year by year and spent; less and less is being granted every year.

Supervisor Hansen asked for clarification that the funds from the tickets issued for these traffic stops goes into the general fund.

Sheriff Walton confirmed the funds generated from these traffic stops go through the process and ends up in the general fund. The purpose of the grant is to put deputies on the street to prevent DUI's and get these folks off the street.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions the motion was approved by the following roll call vote:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

b. Consideration of a Fire and EMS Grant for the Sheriff's office – The County Administrator introduced a grant for the use in emergency dispatch services. He explained this grant will provide training for dispatchers in order to be licensed to provide medical advice while waiting for EMS service to arrive. He noted this is a 100% grant totaling about \$3,800.

Supervisor Hansen moved for approval of an application for a Fire and EMS grant for the Sheriff's Office; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussion.

Supervisor Ehrhart asked for clarification of who is providing the grant.

Sheriff Walton confirmed the grant is being issued through the VDH.

Chairman Greenwood called for any further discussions.

There being no other discussions the motion was approved by the following roll call vote:

R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

RE: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COMMENTS

Chairman Greenwood opened the Board of Supervisors comment period.

Supervisor Ehrhart shared that his father, grandfather, and football coach were the most influential people in his life. He said is out on the basketball court providing coaching to children. He said the notion that we want to eliminate things like sports, or that there is some preconceived idea of eliminating that is just myth. He does not feel this was the forum to bring up budget specific types of things. He said everybody wants a quality education, we recognize that schools are important to society, and we recognize that buses are needed to get the kids to schools. He does not agree with using tax payer means to put out his mythology. He said what the real concern is waste in administration and as we move through the budget process the specifics will be revealed. He suggested going to the department of education website for information on the average principal salary in King William noting an increase, meanwhile teachers' aides went down.

Supervisor Hansen said for informational purposes, he was advised by his counsel not to comment on the email or use of the website by the two people on the School Board, and emails that were distributed to others. He apologizes but his counsel has said at this time not to make comments on either.

Supervisor Hodges thanked the Sheriff and his deputies for being present tonight to help with the crowd. He said this is the kind of reaction is needed if there is an issue that you want to discuss. He said the large citizen attendance is appreciated.

Supervisor Moskalski also thanked the Sheriff's office for the support tonight and keeping things orderly. He thanked Chairman Greenwood for running an orderly meeting and dispensing with it quite well. He wished more people had stayed for the budget presentation. He said it is quite the fashion now to disparage and yell at elected officials, but feels it is important that we progress with some civility. He feels we should all be treated with respect, regardless if we agree or don't agree with one another and encourages this going forward. He was happy to see so many come out and support an issue. He encouraged citizens to download a copy of the draft budget, review, ask questions, and stay involved going forward. He noticed questions he heard tonight about where the money is going. He said it was pointed out when the draft budget was delivered last year that if we maintain our effort to the schools but we cut every other service we provide down to the bare minimum, we would have reduced our tax rate four cents. He said the money is going to operate your government

effectively. He noted there are a lot of State mandates we have to swim through and a lot of people we have to provide services to that need them. He felt it was important that Bay Aging and Bay Transit was here tonight because that had a direct impact on some vulnerable citizens no longer able to access necessary rides. He said these are dollars and cents when put in a neat document but when put into practice it is people's quality of lives that we are dealing with. He feels it is important that this Board and the public be thoughtful of this as we go forward. Every year, everyone wants cuts but not what is important to them, cuts draw support. He said be mindful of what this document is, it affects people's lives.

Chairman Greenwood reiterated they are elected officials and they cannot please everyone. He said it is discerning to him that so much distain has been brought out on fellow board members. He said the Board is always open to ideas and they need them. He said constructive criticism is also needed. Even if you think your plan is silly let them know what you are thinking, it might work. He feels the reserve fund cannot be used anymore. He hopes we can all work together and thanked everyone for coming.

RE: CLOSED MEETING

Motion was made by T. J. Moskalski, seconded by R. W. Ehrhart II, that the Board enter Closed Meeting pursuant to § 2.2-3711(A)(7) of the Code of Virginia, (1) to consult with legal counsel on a matter of actual litigation in which the County is involved, namely the case of Nestle Purina vs. King William County; and (2) to receive advice of counsel regarding legal issues related to compliance with the Freedom of Information Act. The members were polled:

W. L. Hodges	Aye
T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

Having completed the closed meeting, Chairman Greenwood called the meeting back to order in open session.

Chairman Greenwood called for a motion to approve Standing Resolution 1 (SR-1). In accordance with Section 2.2-3717(D) of the Code of Virginia, 1950, as amended, T. J. Moskalski moved that the King William County Board of Supervisors adopt the following SR-1 resolution certifying that the closed meeting was conducted

in conformity with the requirements of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; motion was seconded by R. W. Ehrhart II.

Chairman Greenwood announced the motion was properly moved and properly seconded; he called for any discussion. There being no discussion among Board members the SR-1 was adopted. The members were polled:

T. J. Moskalski	Aye
D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye
S. K. Greenwood	Aye

STANDING RESOLUTION – 1 (SR-1):

A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED MEETING

WHEREAS, the King William County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote, and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and,

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the King William County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the King William County Board of Supervisors on this 22nd day of February, 2016, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge:

1. Only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements under the Freedom of Information Act were heard, discussed, or considered in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, by the King William County Board of Supervisors.
2. Only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the King William County Board of Supervisors.

RE: APPOINTMENTS

a. Resolution 16-14 – King William County Board of Building Code Appeals – one member for a term to expire March 1, 2021 – Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-14, reappointing Mr. Alphonse Konvicka to the King William County Board of Building Code Appeals, for a term to expire March 1, 2021; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussions.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-14 was approved by the following roll call vote:

D. E. Hansen	Aye
R. W. Ehrhart II	Aye
W. L. Hodges	Aye

T. J. Moskalski Aye
S. K. Greenwood Aye

RESOLUTION 16-14
Resolution of Appointment
King William County
Board of Building Code Appeals

WHEREAS, it is appropriate for the Board of Supervisors to appoint members to the King William County Board of Building Code Appeals; and

WHEREAS, Section 119.2 of the 2009 Virginia Construction Code requires the Board of Building Code Appeals consist of at least five members; and

WHEREAS, the term of Mr. Alphonse Konvicka, serving on the Board of Building Code Appeals, expires on March 1, 2016; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Konvicka has expressed interest in reappointment,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Board of Supervisors hereby appoints Alphonse Konvicka to serve as a member on the King William County Board of Building Code Appeals, for a term to expire March 1, 2021.

b. Resolution 16-15 – King William County Recreation Commission – one member to serve as the King William County Schools representative, recommended by the King William County School Board, for an unexpired term ending June 30, 2018

– Supervisor Moskalski moved for approval of Resolution 16-15 appointing Bryan K. Major, representing King William County Schools on the King William County Recreation Commission for an unexpired term ending June 30, 2018; motion was seconded by Supervisor Hodges.

Chairman Greenwood called for any discussions.

There being no discussions Resolution 16-15 was approved by the following roll call vote:

R. W. Ehrhart II Aye
W. L. Hodges Aye
T. J. Moskalski Aye
D. E. Hansen Aye
S. K. Greenwood Aye

RESOLUTION 16-15
Resolution of Appointment
King William County
Recreation Commission

WHEREAS, it is necessary for the Board of Supervisors of King William County to appoint one member to the King William County Recreation Commission; and

WHEREAS, the King William County School Board conducted a meeting on Monday, January 11, 2016, and the Board voted to recommend the appointment of School Board member, Mr. Bryan Major, to serve as the King William County Schools representative,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Board of Supervisors of King William County, Virginia, that Mr. Bryan Major is hereby appointed to serve as a member of the King William County Recreation Commission, representing King William County Public Schools for an unexpired term ending June 30, 2018.

RE: ADJOURNMENT

There being no other business to come before this Board, Chairman Greenwood adjourned the meeting at 11:01 p.m.

COPY TESTE:

Stephen K. Greenwood, Chairman
Board of Supervisors

Bobbi L. Langston
Deputy Clerk to the Board